

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-24 are in the application with claims 2, 5 and 13 having been cancelled and claim 24 having been newly added.

Claims 5-18, 22 and 23 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 1-3 and 5(?) were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Serangoli. Claims 4 and 19-21 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serangoli. Claims 5(?)-18, 22 and 23 were considered to be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

In response thereto it is initially submitted that claim 5 was rejected as being anticipated and also as being allowable. Since the Examiner presented no basis for rejecting claim 5 as being anticipated, it is presumed that the inclusion of claim 5 in the anticipation rejection was in error.

In accordance with the Examiner's suggestion for allowability, the limitations of claim 5 and claim 2 (upon which claim 5 is dependent), have been included into claim 1. In addition the indefiniteness of claim 5 has been obviated (with the inclusion of the claim 5 into claim 1) by completing the phrase and changing it to read "at least partly defining respective first packages". Claim 13 has been cancelled, thereby obviating the rejection thereof.

The claims have also been clarified by changing the term "relative" to "respective" and all reference numbers have been removed from the claims.

Claim 1 and all the claims dependent thereon are accordingly allowable and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass the application to allowance and issue.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS FILING
SYSTEM ON December 11, 2008.

MM/IN:dl

Respectfully submitted,



Max Moskowitz
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700